

Chicago, IL 60606-6630

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/989,320	11/20/2001	Michael M. Barlow	532 P 058	9968
7:	590 04/08/2004		EXAM	INER
Daniel N. Christus		BARRY, CHESTER T		
Wallenstein &	Wagner, Ltd.			
53rd Floor			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
311 South Wacker Drive			1724	

DATE MAILED: 04/08/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		/ E
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/989,320	BARLOW, MICHAEL M.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Chester T. Barry	1724
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	.136(a). In no event, however, may a r oly within the statutory minimum of thir I will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON te, cause the application to become AE	eply be timely filed by (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 M 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under 	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matt	•
Disposition of Claims		
 4)	awn from consideration. ted.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin	cepted or b) objected to edrawing(s) be held in abeyanction is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in A ority documents have been au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		
) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 09/989,320

Art Unit: 1724

At the last line of each of Claims 1 and 5, the phrase "said slots" is recited. Insofar as "slots" are nowhere else to be found in either of claim 1 and 5, there is insufficient antecedent basis for this recited phrase in the claim. Accordingly, claims 1 and 5 are rejected under 35 USC Sec. 112, second paragraph, for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the invention with a reasonable degree of precision. Claims 2 and 3 and 6 and 7 are rejected for the reasons given with respect to claims 1 and 5, respectively. Separately, claims 3 and 7 are independently rejected for it is unclear whether the "rectangular slots" recitals in these two dependent claims are the "said slots" of claims 1 and 5. As for claims 9 – 10 and 12, claim 12, which depends directly from claim 10, and indirectly from 9 through claim 10, recites "said openings." No such recital of "openings" can be found in any of claims 9, 10, or 12. Accordingly, claims 9 – 10, and 12 are rejected under 35 USC Sec. 112, second paragraph, for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the invention with a reasonable degree of precision. Namely, it is unclear which, if any, of claims 9, 10, or 1 recite "openings." Claim 11 is rejected because the claims from which is depends directly or indirectly, claims 10 and 9, respectively, are rejected.

Otherwise, claims 1-3, 5-7, and 9-12 are allowable over art for the reasons given by applicant.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Application/Control Number: 09/989,320

Art Unit: 1724

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Chester T Barry

Examiner

571-272-1152